

Effect of Gypsum and Borax Application on Yield, Nutrient Content and Uptake in Maize under Different Nutrient Management Practices

Arunkumar B. R.^{1*} and N. Srinivasa²

Department of Soil science and Agricultural chemistry, College of Agriculture, V C Farm, Mandya, University of Agricultural Sciences, GKVK, Bengaluru-560 065, Karnataka, India

*Corresponding Author E-mail: arunybr011@gmail.com

Received: 5.06.2018 | Revised: 14.07.2018 | Accepted: 22.07.2018

ABSTRACT

Field experiment was conducted at College of Agriculture, V. C. Farm, Mandya during Kharif, 2014 on sandy loam soil to find out the performance of maize to gypsum and boron under different nutrient management practices. The experiment was laid out in split plot design with three main treatments viz. package of practices, UAS, Bengaluru (M_1), STCR dose for targeted yield of 90 q ha^{-1} (M_2) and STCR dose for targeted yield of 110 q ha^{-1} (M_3) and six sub treatments viz. control (S_1), 200 kg gypsum ha^{-1} (S_2), 2.5 kg borax ha^{-1} (S_3), 5 kg borax ha^{-1} (S_4), 200 kg gypsum + 2.5 kg borax ha^{-1} (S_5) and 200 kg gypsum + 5 kg borax ha^{-1} (S_6). Among different nutrient management practices, M_3 recorded significantly higher grain yield (93.0 q ha^{-1}), stover yield (184.25 q ha^{-1}), yield attributing parameters and higher total nutrient content and uptake compared to M_1 and was found to be on par with M_2 . Two per cent deviation (88.78 q ha^{-1}) was observed in M_2 when compared M_3 . Among gypsum and borax treatments, S_4 recorded significantly higher grain yield (89.86 q ha^{-1}), stover yield (160.78 q ha^{-1}), yield attributing parameters and higher nutrient content and uptake over S_1 and S_2 and was on par with S_3 , S_5 and S_6 .

Key words: boron, gypsum, Maize, STCR, Targeted yield, Nutrient uptake.

INTRODUCTION

Maize (*Zea mays* L.) is one of the fourth important cereal crops next to rice, wheat and sorghum in the India and third important cereal crop in the world next to wheat and rice. It is known as “Queen of cereals” due to its great importance in human diet. Maize is cultivated in all seasons viz., kharif, rabi and summer with production 23.93 m t from 9.4 m ha area with productivity of 2567 kg ha^{-1} .²

The productivity of maize is largely dependent on nutrient management and soil fertility status. Proper nutrient management is an important aspect in its production management system. Applying the required quantities of nutrient at all stages of growth and understanding the soil’s ability to supply those nutrients is critical in profitable crop production.

Cite this article: Arunkumar B.R. and Srinivasa, N., Effect of Gypsum and Borax Application on Yield, Nutrient Content and Uptake in Maize under Different Nutrient Management Practices, *Int. J. Pure App. Biosci.* 6(4): 181-189 (2018). doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.18782/2320-7051.6577>

The yield potential of our present maize varieties and hybrids is high enough but it has not been explored fully due to some production constraints like indeterminate and imbalanced use of primary nutrients supplying fertilizers resulted in multiple nutrient deficiency particularly in the irrigated areas, that's affects the physico-chemical properties of soil and finally crop yield is reduced.

Calcium and boron play a pivotal role in increasing the yield of cereals. Calcium is a multifunctional nutrient in physiology of crop plants which helps in growth and development of plants and boron is an essential micronutrient required for better pollination, seed setting, growth and development of higher plants. Combined application of Gypsum and Boron along with NPK is recommended in all types of soils in order to achieve higher yield. Kanwal⁸ reported that application of calcium antagonised boron concentration in shoots of maize cultivars, Ca/B ratio is important in growth, nutrient uptake and yield of maize. Keeping in view the above facts, the present study was undertaken to determine the effect of combined application of Gypsum and Boron on yield, nutrient content of maize and post harvest soil nutrient content.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

A experiment was conducted during *khari* 2014 on sandy loam soil to find out the effect of gypsum and boron application on growth and yield parameters of maize crop under different nutrient management practices. at college of agriculture, V. C. Farm, Mandya district situated in the southern dry zone (Zone-6) of Karnataka with 11° 30' to 13° 05' North latitude and 76° 05' to 77° 45' East longitude at an altitude of 695 meters above mean sea level initial soil sampling was done before experiment laid down and the soil of the experiment site was sandy loam in texture, neutral in soil reaction, low in organic carbon and available nitrogen, high in available phosphorus, medium in available potassium, Exchangeable calcium and available Boron. The characteristic of experimental soil is given

in the **Table 1**. The experiment was laid out in split plot design with three main treatments *viz.* package of practices UAS(B) (M_1), STCR dose for targeted yield of 90 q ha⁻¹ (M_2) and STCR dose for targeted yield of 110 q ha⁻¹ (M_3) and six sub treatments *viz.* control (S_1), 200 kg gypsum ha⁻¹ (S_2), 2.5 kg borax ha⁻¹ (S_3), 5 kg borax ha⁻¹ (S_4), 200 kg gypsum + 2.5 kg borax ha⁻¹ (S_5) and 200 kg gypsum + 5 kg borax ha⁻¹ (S_6).

The quantity of fertilizer required for each treatment was worked out by three approaches such as package of practice, UAS, Bengaluru-Recommended dose of fertilizer, STCR- targeted yield 90 q ha⁻¹ and STCR-targeted yield 110 q ha⁻¹. Calculated quantity of FYM recommended in package of practice 10 t ha⁻¹ were applied 15 days before sowing of maize crop as per the treatment details. Nutrients nitrogen, phosphorus, potassium, Calcium and Boron supplied in the form of urea, DAP, MOP, gypsum and borax. 50 % nitrogen, entire quantity of phosphorus, potassium, calcium and boron was supplied at the time of sowing as a basal dose to each plot and remaining 50 % of nitrogen was applied at 30 days after sowing as indicated in the treatments details.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Yield attributes of maize

The increase in grain yield due to nutrient management practices and different combination of gypsum and borax application in sub plot treatments could be attributed to proportionate increase in yield parameters such as cob length, number of rows per cob, number of grains per cob and test weight (**Table 2**)

Among the different nutrient management practices STCR dose recommended for yield target of 110 q ha⁻¹ (M_3) recorded higher cob length, number of rows per cob, number of grains per cob and test weight 18.001 cm, 16.96, 540.77 and 32.22 g respectively compare with STCR dose recommended for yield target of 90 q ha⁻¹ (M_2) and package of practice (M_1), resulting in higher grain yield. These results are in

conformity with Shivashankar and Sudhakar Babu¹⁴.

In sub treatments S₅ recorded higher cob length of 17.17 cm, whereas S₄ recorded more number of rows per cob (17.14), number of grains per cob (573.06) and test weight of 31.87 g, ultimately resulting in higher grain yield in sub treatment S₄. Application of borax individually helped in increasing the above yield parameters. The combination of borax with gypsum has not helped the cause and it could be due to interaction effect of calcium and boron. Tariq and Mott¹⁷ and Kanwal *et al.*⁸ have reported that application of excess calcium antagonise the boron concentration in shoots and suggested to consider Ca/B ratio for optimization of yields. Sarkaut *et al.*¹³ and Muhammad¹¹ have reported that application of boron significantly increased the cob length, number of rows per cob, grains per cob and test weight. The boron content of experimental soil was 0.4 ppm which is deficient. Application of borax at 5 kg ha⁻¹ has resulted in good response of yield parameters in maize.

Grain, stover yield (q ha⁻¹) and harvest index of maize

The data on grain yield (q ha⁻¹), stover yield (q ha⁻¹) and harvest index of maize as influenced by different treatments under the study are presented in **Table 2**.

Among main treatments, M₃ (110 q ha⁻¹ target) targeted yield was not achieved; it fell short by nearly 15 %. However, it recorded significantly higher maize grain and stover yield (93.00 and 184.25 q ha⁻¹) over M₁ (66.69 and 115.82 q ha⁻¹), respectively. In M₂ targeted yield was achieved. This was on par with M₃ and it was significantly higher than that observed in M₁ (66.69 q ha⁻¹) and The harvest index ranged between 0.37 with package of practice to 0.34 with STCR approach for targeted yield 110 q ha⁻¹. This could be attributed due to luxuriant growth in M₃ plots resulting in higher stover yield and higher stover to grain ratio, which has finally resulted in lesser conversion rate from source to sink. This could be also the reason for lower harvest index in M₃. This was evidenced through findings of Jayaprakash *et al.*⁷.

Significantly higher grain yield was recorded in S₄ (89.86 q ha⁻¹) treatment which received borax at 5 kg ha⁻¹ and it was on par with S₃ (87.34 q ha⁻¹) and lower grain yield was recorded in S₁ (73.01 q ha⁻¹). However, significantly higher stover yield of 168.61 q ha⁻¹ was recorded in S₅. Lower stover yield was in S₁ (129.56 q ha⁻¹) compared to S₂, S₃ and S₄ treatments and sub treatments, significantly higher harvest index was recorded with S₁, S₃ and S₄ (0.36) and significantly lower harvest index was recorded with S₅ (0.33). This could again be due to high dry matter in S₅ due to high stover yield but lesser conversion rate from source to sink. Muhammad¹¹ and Sarkaut *et al.*¹³ have reported that application of boron significantly increased the yield of maize due to increase in pollination and seed setting.

Interaction due to different nutrient management practices with application of gypsum and boron did not show any significant effect on grain and stover yield and harvest index of maize crop.

Nutrient content in different parts of maize crop

NPK Content in leaf, grains and stover:

The concentration of nitrogen in leaf at tasseling and at harvest in grain and stover (**Table 3**) was significantly higher in treatments where fertilizer was applied based on STCR basis compared to package of practices. The concentration of N in grain was higher (1.27%) as compared to stover (0.60%) which may be due to the fact that N is a constituent of protein and essential for seed formation. Similar findings have been reported by Feroze and Abdul (1999). The phosphorus concentration in leaf (0.53%) and at harvest in grain (0.45%) and stover (0.28%) was highest in treatment M₃ (STCR targeted yield of 110 q ha⁻¹) compared to package of practices. It is due to application of higher dose of phosphate fertilizer application on STCR basis in M₃ and maize is nutrient responsive crop. Similar findings were reported by Mehta *et al.* (2005) and Arya and Singh³, that higher application of fertilizers resulted in higher concentration and uptake of P nutrient in maize. The K concentration in leaf (1.77%) and at harvest in

grain (0.55%) and stover (1.23%) was highest in treatment M₃ (STCR targeted yield level of 110 q ha⁻¹) compared to package of practices. Similar trend was observed in potassium uptake by maize crop, with higher potassium uptake recorded in M₃ treatment, due to application of higher potassium fertilizer dose on STCR basis for targeted yield of 110 q ha⁻¹. Further, the nutrient losses are very less in potassium besides maize being the explorative crop. The continuous availability of potassium and higher efficiency resulted in more uptake of potassium as compared to recommended doses. Similar results were reported earlier by Shivashankar and Shudhakar Babu¹⁴ and Singh *et al.*¹⁵.

Among sub plots highest potassium concentration in grain was observed in treatment S₄ and S₆, respectively. Higher dry matter accumulation in leaves and stem was observed in present study and this could be the reason for higher potassium concentration in S₆.

Ca and B Content in leaf, grains and stover:

The main treatments observed no significant difference in content of calcium and boron nutrients due to fertilizer application. But uptake of Ca and B in grain and stover was highest in STCR dose based target yield plots. The higher uptake of Ca and B due to application of nutrients based on STCR approach may attribute to improvement in growth and yield, which helped in higher Ca and B uptake.

Among sub treatments the results revealed higher Ca concentration in leaf (0.44) at tasseling stage and at harvest in grain (0.43) and stover (0.60) was observed in S₆. This may be due to application of Ca in treatment S₆ through gypsum. Ca uptake in grain and stover was highest in S₅ treatment, (Table 4). This trend was observed in treatments where application of gypsum and borax was in combination. This could be due to application of boron because boron has synergistic effect on Ca uptake by maize crop¹. Boron concentration among subplot treatments in leaf at tasseling stage and at harvest in grain and stover was higher in S₄ treatment and it was on par with other treatments except S₁ and S₂

where borax was applied alone compared to combined application of gypsum and borax. In present study boron content was numerically lesser in treatments where gypsum and borax was applied in combination compared to B alone treated plots. This could be due to higher calcium application to soil through gypsum may reduced B content in maize plant. Kanwal *et al.*⁸ have reported that application of excess calcium reduces the boron concentration in shoots and suggested to consider Ca/B ratio for optimization of yields.

Uptake of nutrients by grain and stover of maize

The data on the uptake of nutrients by irrigated maize at harvest as influenced by application of gypsum and boron under different nutrient management practices are presented in Tables 5.

NPK uptake by maize crop

NPK uptake by grain

Highest NPK uptake by grain (118.53, 42.06 & 51.35 kg ha⁻¹ respectively) was recorded in the treatment (M₃) which received STCR targeted yield levels of 110 q ha⁻¹ and it was on par with the application of STCR targeted yield levels of 90 q ha⁻¹ M₂ (112.12, 36.45 & 46.69 kg ha⁻¹ respectively). However, lowest NPK uptake by grains was noticed in the UAS, Bengaluru, package practice, M₁ (65.67, 19.20 & 26.15 kg ha⁻¹ respectively). There was significant influence of gypsum and borax application on NPK uptake by grain and S₄ recorded highest uptake (111.14, 39.19 & 49.49 kg ha⁻¹ respectively) when compared to S₁, S₂, S₅ and S₆. However, interaction effect between main and sub treatments had no significant influence on N uptake by grain.

NPK uptake by stover

Significantly higher (111.07, 51.01 & 57.77 kg ha⁻¹ respectively) NPK uptake was recorded in the treatment M₃. Lower uptake of nitrogen by stover was recorded in the recommended dose of fertilizer M₁ (56.62, 22.29 & 34.34 kg ha⁻¹ respectively). Among the sub treatments S₅ (200 kg of gypsum and 5 kg of borax ha⁻¹) recorded significantly higher N & P uptake (100.07 & 45.78 kg ha⁻¹ respectively) in stover, but highest K uptake was reported in S₄ treatment and it was on par with S₅ treatment.

Lowest NPK uptake (66.22, 25.75 & 37.21 kg ha⁻¹ respectively) was noticed in S₁ (control) treatment. There was no significant difference of nitrogen uptake in stover due to main and sub treatments interaction.

The enhanced values of yield attributing characters witnessed the tendency of nitrogen in accelerating growth, photosynthetic activity and translocation efficiency which might have contributed for higher nutrient uptake. This was reported earlier by Omraj *et al.*¹² and significantly higher uptake of NPK by grain and stover was observed in S₄ and S₅ treatments, respectively. However, the total uptake by maize was significantly higher in S₄ treatment. The higher uptake of nutrients due to application of gypsum and boron may be attributed to improvement in growth. This helped in higher nutrient uptake. Hythum and Nasser⁶ and Soomro *et al.*¹⁶ have reported higher grain and stover dry matter accumulation due to application of boron.

Calcium uptake by maize crop

Calcium uptake by grain and stover of maize differed significantly due to different nutrient management practices (Table 6).

Calcium uptake of grain and stover was 21.45 & 56.71 kg ha⁻¹ respectively in (M₁) UAS, Bengaluru, package of practice. It was significantly higher in STCR based treatment M₃ (31.42 and 92.87 kg ha⁻¹, respectively), and M₂ (30.29 and 82.87 kg ha⁻¹, respectively) which were statistically on par with each other. Highest maize grain calcium uptake was recorded in S₆ (35.56 kg ha⁻¹) compared to S₂,

S₄, S₃ and S₁ (30.29, 25.87, 22.84 and 17.07 kg ha⁻¹, respectively) and highest stover calcium uptake by S₅ treatment. Calcium uptake did not differ significantly due to interaction of main and sub plot treatments. This could be due to application of boron because boron has synergistic effect on Ca uptake by maize crop. Adem *et al.*¹ have reported that increased levels of boron application increased shoot and leaf Ca concentration. These are in conformity with the results of Kanwal *et al.*⁸.

Boron uptake by maize crop

Among main plot treatments boron uptake was higher in treatments where fertilizers was applied based on STCR targeted yield (M₃ and M₂). However, the total B uptake by maize was significantly higher in M₃ treatment, the higher uptake of B due to application of fertilizers based on STCR target yield may be attributed to improvement in growth and yield of maize, which helps in higher B uptake. Among subplot treatments significantly higher B uptake by grain and stover was observed in borax treated plots (S₄ and S₃). However, higher total B uptake by maize was significantly higher in S₄ treatment. The higher uptake of B due to application of borax may attribute to improvement in growth and yield of maize, which helps in higher B uptake. Kanwal *et al.*⁸ have reported that application of excess calcium reduces the boron concentration in shoots and suggested to consider Ca/B ratio for optimization of yields. Similar findings were reported by Tariq and Mott¹⁷.

Table 1: Initial soil properties of soil at experimental site

Sl. No	Properties/ Parameter	Value
1	pH 2.5	7.4
2	EC 2.5 (dSm ⁻¹)	0.23
3	OC (g kg ⁻¹)	4.5
4	Avail. N (kg ha ⁻¹)	277
5	Avail. P ₂ O ₅ (kg ha ⁻¹)	61
6	Avail. K ₂ O (kg ha ⁻¹)	148
7	Exch. Ca (cmolk ⁻¹)	3.4
8	Hot water soluble boron (mg kg ⁻¹).	0.4

Table 2: Cob length, number of rows per cob, number of grains per cob, test weight, harvest index, grain and stover yield of maize as influenced by application of gypsum and borax under different nutrient management practices

Treatments		Cob length (cm)	Number of rows per cob	Number of grains per cob	Test weight (g)	Harvest index	Grain yield (q ha ⁻¹)	Stover yield (q ha ⁻¹)
M ₁		15.12	15.02	433.88	26.99	0.37	66.69	115.82
M ₂		16.46	16.63	526.96	31.22	0.35	88.78	164.14
M ₃		18.01	16.96	540.77	32.22	0.34	93.00	184.25
S.E.m±		0.28	0.35	18.17	0.97	0.01	0.89	1.99
CD(p=0.05)		1.09	1.38	71.35	3.80	0.01	6.22	7.82
S ₁		15.73	14.83	408.89	28.24	0.36	73.01	129.56
S ₂		15.83	15.37	456.18	28.96	0.35	80.95	149.64
S ₃		16.78	16.67	535.79	31.31	0.36	87.34	154.69
S ₄		16.85	17.14	573.06	31.87	0.36	89.86	160.78
S ₅		17.17	16.23	495.66	30.21	0.33	82.23	168.61
S ₆		16.82	16.97	533.65	30.27	0.34	81.94	165.14
S.E.m±		0.72	0.46	13.88	0.77	0.01	1.05	1.95
CD(p=0.05)		NS	1.33	40.10	2.33	0.02	7.30	5.64
Interaction M X S	S.E.m±	0.421	0.81	85.26	4.72	0.04	1.89	11.89
	CD(p=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 3: NPK content in different plant parts of maize as influenced by application of gypsum and borax under different nutrient management practice

Treatments	N (%)			P (%)			K (%)			
	Tasseling stage (Leaf, 45DAS)	Harvest		Tasseling stage (Leaf, 45DAS)	Harvest		Tasseling stage (Leaf, 45DAS)	Harvest		
		Grain	Stover		Grain	Stover		Grain	Stover	
M ₁	1.44	0.98	0.51	0.32	0.29	0.19	1.33	0.39	0.62	
M ₂	2.26	1.26	0.57	0.43	0.41	0.26	1.56	0.52	1.18	
M ₃	2.29	1.27	0.60	0.53	0.45	0.28	1.77	0.55	1.23	
S.E.m±	0.018	0.025	0.008	0.006	0.016	0.009	0.021	0.010	0.011	
CD (p=0.05)	0.070	0.10	0.03	0.02	0.06	0.03	0.08	0.040	0.040	
S ₁	1.95	1.10	0.50	0.40	0.34	0.21	1.50	0.45	0.90	
S ₂	1.99	1.14	0.55	0.41	0.36	0.23	1.52	0.45	0.93	
S ₃	2.03	1.20	0.56	0.42	0.40	0.24	1.56	0.52	1.01	
S ₄	2.04	1.23	0.58	0.43	0.43	0.24	1.56	0.54	1.05	
S ₅	2.08	1.17	0.59	0.46	0.37	0.26	1.60	0.48	1.07	
S ₆	2.09	1.19	0.59	0.45	0.38	0.25	1.58	0.49	1.09	
S.E.m±	0.034	0.030	0.026	0.016	0.019	0.012	0.032	0.018	0.025	
CD (p=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	0.052	0.07	
Interaction M X S	S.E.m±	0.21	0.18	0.16	0.09	0.11	0.07	0.19	0.11	0.15
	CD (p=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

*DAS: Days after sowing

Table 4: Calcium and boron content in different plant parts of maize as influenced by application of gypsum and borax under different nutrient management practice

Treatments		Ca (%)			B (mg kg ⁻¹)		
		Tasseling stage (Leaf, 45DAS)	Harvest		Tasseling stage (Leaf, 45DAS)	Harvest	
			Grain	Stover		Grain	Stover
M ₁		0.30	0.32	0.48	16.63	16.33	4.44
M ₂		0.31	0.34	0.50	16.84	16.38	4.60
M ₃		0.32	0.34	0.50	16.93	16.39	4.63
S.E.m±		0.012	0.006	0.01	0.37	0.21	0.24
CD (p=0.05)		NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS
S ₁		0.20	0.23	0.35	10.39	9.41	3.13
S ₂		0.38	0.37	0.56	10.63	9.29	3.36
S ₃		0.21	0.26	0.40	19.90	20.05	5.89
S ₄		0.20	0.29	0.41	20.63	20.49	6.40
S ₅		0.43	0.42	0.61	19.26	19.08	4.41
S ₆		0.44	0.43	0.60	19.99	19.87	4.13
S.E.m±		0.012	0.009	0.014	0.63	0.53	0.30
CD (p=0.05)		0.03	0.03	0.04	1.83	1.55	0.87
Interaction M X S	S.E.m±	0.07	0.05	0.09	3.81	3.23	1.83
	CD (p=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

*DAS: Days after sowing

Table 5: Uptake of NPK by maize as influenced by application of gypsum and borax under different nutrient management practices

Treatments		Nitrogen			Phosphorus			Potassium		
		Grain (kg ha ⁻¹)	Stover (kg ha ⁻¹)	Total (kg ha ⁻¹)	Grain (kg ha ⁻¹)	Stover (kg ha ⁻¹)	Total (kg ha ⁻¹)	Grain (kg ha ⁻¹)	Stover (kg ha ⁻¹)	Total (kg ha ⁻¹)
M ₁		65.67	56.62	125.29	19.20	22.29	41.49	26.15	34.34	60.48
M ₂		112.12	94.38	206.50	36.45	43.36	78.81	46.69	51.05	97.74
M ₃		118.53	111.07	229.60	42.06	51.01	93.08	51.35	57.77	109.12
S.E.m±		2.93	0.82	3.12	1.42	1.76	3.03	0.86	1.37	1.92
CD (p=0.05)		11.52	3.20	12.24	5.58	6.90	11.88	3.36	5.40	7.54
S ₁		82.05	66.22	148.27	25.75	28.09	53.84	33.19	37.21	70.40
S ₂		95.04	83.96	179.00	30.20	35.43	65.63	37.32	45.52	82.83
S ₃		105.56	87.94	193.50	35.95	38.40	74.34	46.18	49.32	95.50
S ₄		111.14	93.96	205.11	39.19	40.53	79.72	49.49	52.35	101.84
S ₅		98.83	100.07	198.90	31.65	45.78	77.43	40.50	51.09	91.59
S ₆		100.01	97.99	198.00	32.67	43.10	75.78	41.70	50.81	92.50
S.E.m±		2.92	4.60	5.72	1.47	2.21	2.80	1.52	2.16	3.04
CD (p=0.05)		8.44	13.29	16.51	4.25	6.39	8.09	4.39	6.25	8.79
Interaction M X S	S.E.m±	17.78	27.62	34.43	8.93	13.39	17.08	9.16	13.05	18.36
	CD (p=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

Table 6: Uptake of calcium and boron by maize as influenced by application of gypsum and borax under different nutrient management practices

Treatments	Calcium			Boron			
	Grain(kg ha ⁻¹)	Stover(kg ha ⁻¹)	Total (kg ha ⁻¹)	Grain(g ha ⁻¹)	Stover(g ha ⁻¹)	Total(g ha ⁻¹)	
M ₁	21.45	56.71	78.16	1117.52	519.16	1636.68	
M ₂	30.29	82.87	113.32	1474.69	764.49	2239.18	
M ₃	31.42	92.87	124.45	1539.25	861.29	2400.53	
S.Em±	0.64	0.90	0.35	21.41	52.17	60.22	
CD (p=0.05)	2.52	3.55	1.39	84.08	204.84	236.43	
S ₁	17.07	45.42	62.49	686.87	407.66	1094.45	
S ₂	30.29	84.33	114.62	758.84	504.50	1263.35	
S ₃	22.84	61.56	84.40	1748.55	918.39	2666.94	
S ₄	25.87	70.91	96.79	1837.30	1030.16	2867.46	
S ₅	34.68	103.77	138.45	1580.07	743.06	2323.13	
S ₆	35.56	98.91	135.13	1651.29	686.11	2337.39	
S.Em±	0.67	2.55	2.63	47.22	48.72	65.04	
CD (p=0.05)	1.94	7.35	7.58	136.39	140.72	187.85	
Interaction	S.Em±	4.08	15.30	15.76	284.16	296.94	394.87
M X S	CD (p=0.05)	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS	NS

CONCLUSION

Combined application of gypsum and boron may have antagonistic effect on yield and boron uptake of maize and the same trend has been reflected in the present investigation. Further calcium and boron ratio should worked out for optimization of maize yield and finally it can be concluded that application of gypsum alone and in combination with borax did not contribute to significant increase in yield, nutrient content and nutrient uptake of maize crop. Where as borax tried individually at two levels (2.5 and 5 kg ha⁻¹) along with different nutrient management practises (STCR targeted yield equation) attributed to significant increase in grain yield, nutrient content and nutrient uptake of maize crop.

REFERENCES

1. Adem, G., Nizamettin, A., Aslihan, E., Oguzha, N., Sinan, A. and Metin, T., Yield and chemical composition of corn (*Zea mays* L.) as affected by boron management. *International Journal of Plant, Animal and Environmental Science*, **1(1)**: 42-53 (2011).
2. Anonymous, Area, production and productivity of major cereals in India. www.indiastat.com. (2014).
3. Arya, K. C. and Singh, S. N., Effect of different levels of phosphorus and zinc on yield and nutrients uptake of maize (*Zea mays* L.) with and without irrigation. *Indian Journal of Agronomy*, **45(4)**: 717-721 (2000).
4. Easterwood, G. W., Calcium's role in plant nutrition. *Fluid Journal* pp: 34-39 (2002).
5. Akbar, F. and Wahid, A., Optimisation of method and time of nitrogen application for increased nitrogen use efficiency and yield in maize. *Pakistan Journal of Botony*, **31(2)**: 164-169 (1999).
6. Salem, H. and Gizawy, H., Importance of micronutrients and its application methods for improving maize (*Zea mays* L.) yield grown in clayey soil. *American-Eurasian Journal of Agriculture and Environmental Science*, **12(7)**: 954-959 (2012).

7. Jayaprakash, T. C., Nagalikar, V. P., Pujari, B.T. and Shetty, R.A., Effect of organics and inorganics on growth and yield of maize under irrigation. *Karnataka Journal of Agriculture Science*, **18(3)**: 794-798 (2006).
8. Kanwal, S., Aziz, R. T., Maqsood, M. A. and Abbas, N., Critical ratio of calcium and boron in maize shoot for optimum growth. *Journal of Plant Nutrition*, **31(9)**: 1535-1542 (2008).
9. Mehta, Y. K., Shakawat, M. S. and Shingh, S. M., Influence of sulphur and farm yard manure on yield attributes and yield of maize in southern Rajasthan conditions. *Indian Journal Agronomy*, **50(3)**: 203-205 (2005).
10. Miller, M. H., Mitchell, V. A., Sathya, M. and Barry, D. A., Effect of nutrient availability and soil bulk density on corn yield and nutrient absorption. *Canadian Journal of Soil Science*, **67**: 281-292 (1987).
11. Muhammad, A., Cumulative effect of sulphur and calcium on wheat growth and yield under saline-sodic soils. *Pakistan Journal of Agriculture Research*, **26(1)**: 46-53 (2014).
12. Meena, O., Khafi, H. R., Shekh, M. A., Asha, C., Mehta and Davda, B. K., Effect of vermicompost and nitrogen on content, uptake and yield of *rabimaize*. *Crop Research*, **33(2-3)**: 53-54 (2007).
13. Sarkaut, S., Salaheddin, M., Kaivan, A.N., Anvar, R.A., Growth and yield response of maize (*Zea mays L.*) to different rates of potassium and boron. *International Journal of Agriculture Crop Science*, **5(3)**: 236-240 (2013).
14. Shivashankar, K. A. and Sudhakar Babu, S. N., Influence of organic matter, spacing and fertilizer levels on growth and yield of maize. *Seminar on technology for sustainable crop production IAT. Bengaluru*, (1994).
15. Singh, R. S., Sutaliya, R., Ghatak, R. and Sarangi, S. K., Effect of higher application of nitrogen and potassium over recommended level on growth, yield and yield attributes of late sown winter maize (*Zea mays L.*). *Crop Research*, **26(1)**: 71-74 (2003).
16. Soomro, Z. H., Baloch, P. A. and Gandhai, A. W., Comparative effects of foliar and soil applied boron on growth and fodder yield of maize. *Pakistan Journal Agriculture, Agriculture Engineering and Veterinary Science*, **27(1)**: 18-26 (2011).
17. Tariq, M. and Mott, C.J.B., Effect of boron on the behaviour of nutrients in soil-plant systems. *Asian Journal Plant Science*, **6**: 195-202 (2007).